

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/830,042	Applicant(s) HOLT, JOHN M.
	Examiner JAMES RUTTEN	Art Unit 2192
All Participants: (1) <u>JAMES RUTTEN</u> . (2) _____. Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2009</u>	Status of Application: <u>Non-Final</u> (3) _____. (4) _____. Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: <i>Proposed claim amendment (attached).</i>		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>n/a</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>7, 31, 37, 38, 39, and 45</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Factor, Bal</i>		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>		
Part III. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/J. Derek Rutten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192</i>		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/14/09, Mr. Rutten indicated that an examiner's amendment would provide allowable subject matter. Mr. Ananian agreed to discuss the issue the the Applicant. On 6/2 - 6/4, further discussions regarding the proposed amendment showed that Applicants were not interested in adopting the examiner's suggestions.